



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Federal Register Environmental Documents

[Recent Additions](#) | [Contact Us](#) | Search:

GO

[EPA Home](#) > [Federal Register](#) > [FR Years](#) > [FR Months](#) > [FR Days](#) > [FR Daily](#) > National Trail Classification System, FSM 2350, and FSH 2309.18

National Trail Classification System, FSM 2350, and FSH 2309.18

[Federal Register: July 3, 2006 (Volume 71, Number 127)]

[Notices]

[Page 38021-38052]

From the Federal Register Online via GPO Access [wais.access.gpo.gov]

[DOCID:fr03jy06-93]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
Forest Service
RIN 0596-AC47

National Trail Classification System, FSM 2350, and FSH 2309.18

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of proposed policy and directives; request for comment.

SUMMARY: The Forest Service is publishing for public notice and comment proposed revisions to the agency's national trail classification system (TCS), including the Trail Class Matrix and Design Parameters, and proposed implementing directives. On May 13, 2005, the Backcountry Horsemen of America filed a lawsuit against the Forest Service challenging revision of the TCS without public notice and comment. In an order dated March 29, 2006, the U.S. District Court found that the Forest Service failed to provide public notice and comment as required by the National Forest Management Act, 16 U.S.C. 1612. In accordance with the Court's order, the Forest Service is publishing the proposed revisions to the TCS and proposed implementing directives for public notice and comment.

DATES: Comments must be received in writing by September 1, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to Jonathan Stephens, National Program Manager for Trails and Congressionally Designated Areas, USDA Forest Service, Recreation and Heritage Resources Staff, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Stop 1125, Washington, DC 20250; or by facsimile to 202-205-1145. Comments also may be submitted by following the instructions at the Federal rulemaking portal at <http://www.regulations.gov> EXIT Disclaimer.

All comments, including names and addresses when provided, will be placed in the record and will be available for public inspection and copying. The public may inspect comments received on the proposed TCS and directives in the USDA Forest Service Headquarters in Washington, DC, on business days between 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. Those wishing to

inspect comments are encouraged to call ahead at 202-205-1701 to facilitate entry into the building.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jonathan Stephens, Recreation and Heritage Resources Staff, (202) 205-1701.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. Background

The Forest Service is responsible for managing 192 million acres of National Forest System (NFS) lands. On these lands, approximately 133,000 miles of NFS trails are managed by the Forest Service. An NFS trail is a forest trail other than a trail which has been authorized by a legally documented right-of-way held by a State, county, or other local public road authority (36 CFR 212.1). A forest trail is a trail wholly or partly within or adjacent to and serving the NFS that the Forest Service determines is necessary for the protection, administration, and utilization of the NFS and the use and development of its resources (36 CFR 212.1). Design, construction, operation, and maintenance of NFS trails fall under the authority of Forest and Grassland Supervisors.

In the early 1990s, the Forest Service began developing a new information management process and database for inventorying and managing NFS trail data. This process included identification of national trail classifications and associated physical characteristics of trails.

Development of Trail Classes

Since the mid-1980s, the Forest Service has been concerned that there was no system for gathering consistent, comprehensive data on real property inventory, facility conditions, program priorities, and budget needs for Forest Service resources. Therefore, in 1991, the Chief of the Forest Service directed managers of the Forest Service's national trails program to develop a system for identifying real property inventory, the condition of facilities, and the cost of maintaining those facilities to standard and reducing maintenance backlogs.

In 1991, the Forest Service established three categories for classifying NFS trails based on their difficulty level. These categories, which are enumerated in the Forest Service Handbook (FSH), are most difficult, more difficult, and easiest. In addition, since 1991, the FSH has contained technical guidelines, called trail guides, for specific types of uses, including hiking and pack and saddle stock use. For each of the three difficulty levels, each trail guide contains design, construction, and maintenance guidelines for the physical characteristics of trails. The physical characteristics include maximum pitch grade and length, clearing width and height, tread width, and surface. The difficulty levels in the trail guides encompass trails ranging from the least developed, which are typically steep or narrow, to the most highly developed, which are typically wide with minimal grades.

Trail management and use were (and still are) based on trail management objectives (TMOs), as determined by the applicable land management plan, travel management plan, and trail-specific decisions. At the same time local managers identified a trail's management and use, they identified the applicable difficulty level. Once managers determined the applicable trail management and use and difficulty level, applicable technical guidelines from the appropriate trail guide could be identified.

Development of the National Trail Database

In 1994, the Forest Service implemented a trails module in Infrastructure (Infra), the Forest Service's national database, which operated on the agency's Data General (DG) computer system. The DG Infra Trails Module provided a national repository for information related to the inventory and management of NFS trails. The DG Infra Trails Module included numerous trail attributes, including the three difficulty levels and three new trail classes roughly based on a trail's development scale: Way (minimally developed), secondary (native surface with moderate level of development), and mainline (most developed). However, these three trail classes did not correlate with the difficulty levels in the FSH for categorizing the technical guidelines for NFS trails.

In 1997, the Forest Service adopted Meaningful Measures (MM), a spreadsheet system that tracked the condition of agency facilities, including trails, and the cost of meeting national standards for those facilities. The MM system included spreadsheets with data entry fields identifying NFS trails as way, secondary, or mainline, using definitions for those classes from the DG Infra Trails Module.

Revision of the DG Infra Trails Module

In 1994, the Forest Service reconfigured and updated the DG Infra Trails Module to a new IBM system providing greater functionality and user-friendliness and refined and expanded sets of data attributes. Recognizing the inefficiency of having expansive amounts of related but unintegrated information, in addition to the mounting confusion in terminology, in 1998 the Forest Service identified the need to integrate data from the MM system and the IBM Infra Trails Module. The agency concluded that providing

[[Page 38023]]

seamless functionality between the Infra Trails Module and the MM spreadsheets would greatly improve agency efficiency and data accuracy and consistency. Therefore, in 1998, the Forest Service determined that a more uniform national trail classification system, applicable to both the MM cost data and the Infra trails inventory data, would improve information management and make the Infra Trails Module a truly useful and effective tool for local trail managers.

In 1999, the Forest Service transitioned from the three way, secondary, and mainline trail classes to five trail classes keyed more precisely to the physical characteristics of NFS trails. The Forest Service replaced the way, secondary, and mainline data fields in the MM spreadsheets with data fields for the five trail classes. The 2000 MM User Guide included a matrix of the five trail classes and a set of physical characteristics of trails, including tread, immediate environs, obstacles, signing, and constructed features. The MM User Guide explained that ``[t]he five Trail Management Classes separate trails into broad categories which help stratify the Trail System for various projects including Infra inventory, Forest Planning Objectives, Visitor Information, and helping to establish coefficients for MM costing.'' From 1999 to 2001, these five trail classes were incorporated nationwide in MM data requirements and costing efforts.

In 2000, the Forest Service formed the national Trails Development Team (TDT) to improve the Infra Trails Module. The primary objectives of the TDT were to integrate and build upon trail reference materials to enhance trail inventory, tracking of trail condition and needs, and accuracy and accountability of trail inventory and costing; to minimize

confusion and inconsistency in terminology, definitions, and interpretation; and to improve the communication, quality, and utility of trail data.

In revising the Infra Trails Module, the TDT refined five concepts that are now collectively known as the ``Trail Fundamentals,'' including Trail Type, Trail Class, Managed Use, Designed Use, and Design Parameters. The Trail Fundamentals provide an updated and more effective means for consistently recording and communicating the intended design and management guidelines for trail design, construction, maintenance, survey, and assessment. This refinement clarified the five Trail Classes and their associated terminology, and integrated the trail class concept with technical guidelines, called Design Parameters, for the design, construction, maintenance, survey, and assessment of NFS trails. Relevant facts pertaining to development of the Trail Fundamentals follow.

Trail Class

On June 15, 2001, the 1999 Trail Class Matrix was reformatted and refined to include expanded descriptors for each category. Like the previous three difficulty levels and 1999 Trail Classes, the 2001 Trail Classes range from minimally developed (Trail Class 1) to fully developed (Trail Class 5):

- Trail Class 1: Minimal/Undeveloped Trail.
- Trail Class 2: Simple/Minor Development Trail.
- Trail Class 3: Developed/Improved Trail.
- Trail Class 4: Highly Developed Trail.
- Trail Class 5: Fully Developed Trail.

Each Trail Class has descriptors for the physical characteristics of trails, including tread and traffic flow, obstacles, constructed features and tread elements, signs, and typical recreational environment and experience.

The 2001 Trail Class Matrix included three sets of additional criteria specific to particular types of uses (motorized, snowmobile, and water uses), which were applied in addition to the general criteria in the five Trail Classes. In 2005, a fourth set of additional criteria was added to the Trail Class Matrix for pack and saddle stock use. The primary intent of the original sets of additional criteria was to address considerations specific to those uses that were not addressed by the general criteria. A secondary intent was to indicate the applicability of each Trail Class to use types.

The agency is proposing to remove the four sets of additional criteria because they duplicate the user-specific guidance in the Design Parameters. The agency is proposing to include a new chart in the FSH that shows the relationship between Trail Class and Managed Use.

In addition, attached to the 2001 Trail Class Matrix is a chart entitled, ``Trail Operation and Maintenance Considerations.'' While these considerations are a useful tool for trail managers, they are not part of the 2001 Trail Class Matrix or Design Parameters. Rather, they are provided to assist managers in the development of trail prescriptions, program management, and trail operation and maintenance. The considerations offer a general starting point and will likely be adapted locally to reflect site-specific financial limitations and applicable district, forest, and regional circumstances. To clarify this distinction, the agency is severing this chart from the Trail Class Matrix and addressing its context and purpose in Forest Service Manual 2353 and FSH 2309.18.

Managed Use

A Managed Use is a mode of travel that is actively managed and appropriate on a trail, considering its design and management. There may be more than one Managed Use per trail or trail segment. As indicated by use of the word ``actively,'' the term ``Managed Use''

reflects a management decision or intent to accommodate a particular use through trail design, maintenance, and management. As with the previous classification system, the applicable Managed Uses of a trail are based on the trail's TMOs. A trail's TMOs are determined by the applicable land management plan, travel management plan, and trail-specific decisions.

The concepts of Trail Class and Managed Use are interdependent. Determining the desired development scale or Trail Class requires consideration of the Managed Uses of a trail. Likewise, determining the Managed Uses of a trail requires consideration of the development scale of the trail. Therefore, the applicable Trail Class is usually identified in conjunction with the Managed Uses of a trail.

Designed Use

The Designed Use is the Managed Use of a trail that requires the most demanding design, construction, and maintenance parameters. The Designed Use determines which design, construction, and maintenance parameters will apply to a trail.

While there may be more than one Managed Use, there can be only one Designed Use per trail or trail segment. For example, if a trail has a Managed Use of Hiker/Pedestrian and Pack and Saddle, Pack and Saddle would be the Designed Use or design driver because it requires more stringent trail design, construction, and maintenance parameters.

As with the prior classification system, once the Trail Class, Managed Uses, and Designed Use are determined for a trail or trail segment, the corresponding set of technical guidelines or design parameters can be applied.

Design Parameters

The Design Parameters were released agency-wide in 2004. The Design Parameters are the technical guidelines for trail design, construction, maintenance, surveying, and assessment, based on Designed Use and

[[Page 38024]]

Trail Class. They reflect the dominant physical criteria that most define the geometric shape of a trail, including tread width, surface, grade, cross slope, clearing width and height, and turning radius. Some of the variables in the Design Parameters identify a specific value, while others identify a range of values. In the latter case, managers are instructed to narrow the range, selecting the specific value that best reflects the TMOs for the trail.

The Design Parameters do not indicate the types of uses that can occur or are allowed on NFS trails, but rather establish general guidelines for the design, construction, maintenance, survey, and assessment of NFS trails, based on their physical characteristics and Designed Use, as determined by preexisting management decisions. All non-motorized uses are allowed on any NFS trail unless specifically prohibited (motorvehicle use is covered by 36 CFR part 212, subpart B. In addition, local deviations from any Design Parameter may be established based on trail-specific conditions, topography, or other factors, provided that the deviations reflect the general intent of the corresponding Trail Class.

The Forest Service is proposing to replace the trail guides in the FSH with the Design Parameters. The proposal would include Design Parameters for Hiker/Pedestrian, Pack and Saddle, Bicycle, All-Terrain Vehicle (ATV), Motorcycle, Cross-Country Ski, and Snowmobiles. In addition, the agency is proposing to remove the barrier-free trail guide because it has been superseded by the Forest Service Trail Accessibility Guidelines.

2. Need for Proposed Directives

The Forest Service provides internal direction to field units through its Directives System, consisting of the Forest Service Manual (FSM) and Forest Service Handbooks (FSH). Directives provide guidance to field units in implementing programs established by statute and regulation. Forest Service directives establish agency policies for delegations of authority, consistent definitions of terms, clear and consistent interpretation of regulatory language, and standard processes.

The Forest Service is proposing to revise the FSM and FSH to incorporate the national Trail Classes, Design Parameters, and other components of the Trail Fundamentals, along with pertinent definitions and direction on use of these management concepts. Although the Trail Fundamentals are national management concepts, they are applied and implemented at the local level.

Summary of Proposed Changes to the Directives

The Trail Fundamentals--Trail Type, Trail Class, Managed Use, Designed Use, and Design Parameters--are the cornerstones for trail planning and management. The proposed directives would revise and update the definitions in FSM 2353.05 and FSH 2309.18, section 05, to include terminology applicable to the Trail Fundamentals. A new section in the FSM and FSH would describe the Trail Fundamentals and how they should be used for trail planning and management. The proposed directives also would provide direction on how the Trail Fundamentals should be applied at the local level. In addition, a new chart would be included that shows the relationship between Trail Classes and Managed Uses. The trail guides would be replaced with the seven sets of Design Parameters (Hiker/Pedestrian, Pack and Saddle, Bicycle, All-Terrain Vehicle, Motorcycle, Cross-Country Ski, and Snowmobiles). The Trail Class Matrix, Trail Class and Managed Use Application Guide, Trail Operation and Maintenance Considerations, and Design Parameters would be included in the directives as exhibits. Modifications also would be made to the FSM and FSH to reflect the direction in the Forest Service Trails Accessibility Guidelines (FSTAG). Additional nonsubstantive revisions would be made to the FSM and FSH to clarify and to remove redundancy.

Section-by-Section Analysis of Proposed Changes

Proposed Changes to FSM 2353

2353.04g--Forest Supervisors. An additional responsibility for Forest Supervisors would be added requiring the use of the five Trail Fundamentals (Trail Type, Trail Class, Managed Use, Designed Use, and Design Parameters) for the planning, management, and operation of all NFS trails.

2353.05--Definitions. Definitions for the following terms would be added alphabetically to FSM 2353.05: Design Parameters, Designed Use, Managed Use, Trail Class, Trail Fundamentals, Trail Management Objectives, and Trail Type. In addition, the definition for difficulty levels would be revised to exclude trails with a Designed Use of Hiker/Pedestrian because these trails are now addressed in the FSTAG.

2353.19--Trail Objective. The title of this section would be changed to ``Trail Management Objectives.'' This section would be modified to incorporate the identification and documentation of TMOs, including the five Trail Fundamentals and travel management strategies.

2353.2--Types of Trails. This section would be renamed, ``Trail Fundamentals,'' and would be revised to include direction on identifying and applying the five Trail Fundamentals. The content of

existing FSM 2353.2 would be incorporated into the new sections on Managed Use and Designed Use in FSH 2309.18, section 1.4, and the reference to trail guides would be replaced with a reference to the Design Parameters.

2353.21--Difficulty Levels. This section would be renumbered FSM 2353.3, and would be modified to state that trails with a Designed Use of Hiker/Pedestrian are addressed in the FSTAG.

Proposed Changes to FSH 2309.18, Trail Management Handbook Zero Code

05--Definitions. Definitions for the following terms would be added alphabetically to section 05: Design Parameters, Designed Use, General Forest Area, Managed Use, Maximum Pitch Density, Short Pitch Maximum, Target Grade, Trail Class, Trail Fundamentals, Trail Management Objectives, and Trail Type.

The definition for difficulty levels would be modified to exclude hiker/pedestrian accessible trail use because accessibility of hiker/pedestrian trails is addressed in the FSTAG.

For consistency with current agency terminology, the definition for ``forest development trail'' would be replaced with the definition for ``National Forest System trail'' from 36 CFR 212.1. In addition, the definition for ``forest trail'' from 36 CFR 212.1 would be added.

The definition for ``four-wheel drive way'' would be removed because it is inconsistent with the definition for ``four-wheel drive way'' in FSM 2353.05.

The definition for ``snow trail'' would be revised and included in the definition for Trail Type.

Chapter One

1.2--Planning Concept. This section would be renamed, ``Planning'', and would be amended to address identification and documentation of TMOs.

1.4--Trail Fundamentals. This new section would identify the five Trail Fundamentals. Current section 1.4, Analysis Process, would be renumbered section 1.5.

1.41--Trail Types. This new section would address the intent and application of Trail Types.

1.42--Trail Classes. This new section would address the intent and application of the Trail Classes and

[[Page 38025]]

would reference a new exhibit in the FSH containing the Trail Class Matrix.

1.42, Exhibit 01--Trail Class Matrix. This new exhibit would contain the Trail Class Matrix, which would contain several modifications. Nonsubstantive modifications would be made to the introductory paragraphs and to footnote 1 to enhance clarity and reduce redundancy. Minor, nonsubstantive changes would be made to the text in the bulleted item for tread and traffic flow in Trail Class 3 to enhance clarity. Footnote 2 would be removed to reduce redundancy, as the intent of this footnote is conveyed by the caveats ``often'' and ``typically'' in the bulleted text for Typical Recreation Environs and Experience. The four tables containing additional criteria for pack and saddle trails, motorized trails, snow trails, and water trails would be removed. The intent of these tables was to provide additional descriptors to address substantial differences based on use type that are not addressed by the descriptors in the Trail Class Matrix. This goal is accomplished more effectively through the Design Parameters, which are keyed to use type, and through inclusion of a new exhibit called, ``Trail Class and Managed Use Application Guide,'' which is

described below.

1.43--Managed Use. This new section would address the intent and application of Managed Use and would reference the exhibit containing the Trail Class and Managed Use Application Guide.

1.43, Exhibit 02--Trail Class and Managed Use Application Guide. This section would include the Trail Class and Managed Use Application Guide. This exhibit would be added to communicate more succinctly and effectively the relationship between the Trail Classes and Managed Uses, which was one of the objectives of the additional criteria in the current Trail Class Matrix that are being removed.

1.44--Designed Use. This new section would address the intent and application of Designed Use.

1.45--Design Parameters. This new section would address the intent and application of the Design Parameters and would reference the exhibits for the Design Parameters, which would replace the trail guides (currently in exhibits 2.31a through d, 2.32a through b, 2.32d, and 2.33a).

1.54--Opportunities and Constraints. Question number 11 would be revised to replace the reference to difficulty levels with a reference to Trail Classes. Per the FSTAG, the concept of difficulty levels is no longer applicable to trails with a Designed Use of Hiker/Pedestrian.

1.55--Relation to Existing Facilities. Question number 4 would be revised to replace the reference to barrier-free trails with a reference to accessible trails in accordance with the FSTAG.

1.6--Establishment of Priorities and Management Requirements. A sentence referencing the Operation and Maintenance Considerations in section 1.6, Exhibit 01, would be added to the end of this section.

1.6, Exhibit 01--Trail Operation and Maintenance Considerations. An exhibit entitled, ``Trail Operation and Maintenance Considerations'' would be added to section 1.6. This exhibit is based on the Operation and Maintenance Considerations that are attached to the current Trail Class Matrix. These considerations would be included in a separate exhibit from the Trail Class Matrix because they are not part of the Trail Class Matrix. Rather, they are merely a reference for trail planning, management, operation, and maintenance.

1.7--Example of Planning Decisions in a Trail Plan. This section would be renumbered section 1.8, and would be renamed, ``Considerations for Trail Planning''. The four examples provided in this section would be revised to include the concepts of Trail Class, Managed Use, and Design Use. The third example would be revised to include a snow trail only, rather than a snow trail combined with a standard/terra trail.

1.7, Exhibit 02--Summit District Trail Inventory. This exhibit would be removed because it contains management concepts that have been replaced by the Trail Fundamentals.

Chapter 2--Trail Development

2.03--Policy. This section would be revised to incorporate the concepts of Managed Use and Designed Use.

2.21--Trail Management Objectives. This new section would address TMOs, including the five Trail Fundamentals.

2.22--Difficulty Levels. This section would be replaced with the Trail Classes being incorporated into the FSM and FSH.

2.23a--Locations. The title of this section would be changed to ``Trailhead Location,'' and the content would be modified to incorporate the concepts of development scale and Trail Class. Additionally, this section would be revised to reflect current accessibility guidelines.

2.23b--Parking. The title of this section would be changed to ``Trailhead Parking,'' and a statement would be added to reflect requirements for compliance with the FSTAG.

2.23c--Pack and Saddle Stock. The title of this section would be changed to ``Pack and Saddle Stock Trailheads.''

2.23d--Barrier Free Design. This section would be renamed, ``Application of Forest Service Trail Accessibility Guidelines,'' and redesignated section 2.23e. The content would be revised because the current text has been superseded by the FSTAG.

2.23e--Snow Removal. This section would be renamed, ``Snow Removal at Trailheads,'' and renumbered section 2.23d.

2.24--Accessibility for Facilities and Associated Constructed Features Along Trails. This new section would address compliance with accessibility guidelines for facilities and associated constructed features along trails.

2.24--Wilderness Considerations. This section would be renumbered section 2.25. The phrase in paragraph 8 would be replaced with the phrase, ``to provide trail treads that do not exceed the tread widths identified for wilderness areas in the Design Parameters. ''

2.3--Trail Construction and Maintenance Guides. This section would be renamed, ``Design Parameters.'' A statement regarding the intent and application of the Design Parameters would be added. The Design Parameters would be listed in the order presented in the following sections. A reference to Managed Use and Designed Use would be added in the first sentence. The word ``guides'' would be replaced with the phrase ``Design Parameters.''

2.31--Non-Motorized Trails. This section would be renamed, ``Standard/Terra Non-Motorized Trails.''

2.31--Hiker Trail Guide. This section would be renamed, ``Hiker/Pedestrian Design Parameters.'' Paragraph 1, ``Design and Location Considerations,'' would be revised to incorporate the concepts of Hiker/Pedestrian Designed Use, Managed Use, and Design Parameters. In the last paragraph, the phrase, ``mountaineering scramble trails'' would be replaced with the phrase, ``mountaineering scramble routes.'' In the next sentence, ``trails'' would be replaced with ``routes'' and ``hiker trail category'' would be replaced with ``Hiker/Pedestrian category.''

2.31a, Exhibit 01--Hiker Trail Guide. This exhibit would be revised and renamed, ``Hiker/Pedestrian Design Parameters.'' The following changes would be made to this exhibit and all other Design Parameter exhibits.

Nonsubstantive changes would be made to the introductory paragraphs,

[[Page 38026]]

bulleted text, and footnotes to enhance clarity and reduce redundancy. ``Target Range'' would be renamed ``Target Grade'' to clarify the intent of this trail characteristic. The values for Target Grade would be preceded by ``less than or equal to,'' rather than ``less than,'' to reflect more clearly and accurately the continuum of Trail Classes. Definitions would be added as footnotes for ``target grade,'' ``short pitch maximum,'' and ``maximum pitch density.''

In addition, the value for short pitch maximum in Trail Class 5 would be preceded by ``less than or equal to'' and the value for clearing height in Trail Class 5 would be preceded by ``more than or equal to,'' so as to reflect more accurately the maximum or minimum tolerance identified for accessible Hiker/Pedestrian trails in the FSTAG.

2.31b--Pack and Saddle Trail Guide. This section would be renamed, ``Pack and Saddle Design Parameter.'' The last sentence in paragraph 1, ``Design and Location Considerations,'' would be replaced with the sentence, ``For minimum bridge widths and railing heights, refer to FSH 7709.56b, section 7.69, exhibit 01, Trail Bridge Design Criteria.''

2.31b, Exhibit 01--Pack and Saddle Trail Guide. This exhibit would

be renamed, ``Pack and Saddle Design Parameters'' and would be revised as discussed above regarding section 2.31a, exhibit 01.

2.31c--Mountain Bike. This section would be renamed, ``Bicycle Design Parameters.'' The content of this section would be removed, and the section would be reserved for updating at a later time.

2.31c, Exhibit 01--Mountain Bike Trail Guide. This exhibit would be renamed, ``Bicycle Design Parameters,'' and would be revised as discussed above regarding section 2.31a, exhibit 01. In addition, under clearing height for Trail Class 1 and Trail Class 2, the erroneous unit of measure of inches would be changed to feet.

2.31d--Cross Country Ski Trail Guide. This section would be renamed, ``Cross-Country Ski Design Parameters,'' and renumbered 2.33a.

2.32--Motorized Trails. This section would be renamed, ``Standard/Terra Motorized Trails.''

2.32a--Bike Trail Guide. This section would be renamed, ``Motorcycle Design Parameters.'' All references to ``bike'' or ``biking'' would be replaced with ``motorcycle'' or ``motorcycling.''

In the introductory text and third and eighth paragraphs of paragraph 1, ``Design and Location Considerations,'' ``easiest trails'' would be replaced with ``Trail Class 4.'' In the fourth and fifth paragraphs, ``easiest to most difficult'' would be replaced with ``Trail Class 4 to Trail Class 2.'' In the seventh paragraph, the second sentence would be removed because this information would be addressed in the Motorcycle Design Parameters. In the eleventh paragraph, the second sentence would be replaced with a reference to FSH 7709.56b, section 7.69, exhibit 01, Trail Bridge Design Criteria.

2.32a, Exhibit 01--Motorized Bike Trail Guide. This exhibit would be renamed, ``Motorcycle Design Parameters,'' and would be revised as discussed above regarding section 2.31a, exhibit 01. Additionally, the tread widths for Trail Class 3 and Trail Class 4 switchbacks would be preceded by ``greater than or equal to,'' instead of ``greater than.''

2.32b--All-Terrain Vehicles (ATV) Trail Guide. This section would be renamed, ``All-Terrain Vehicle Design Parameters.''

2.32b, Exhibit 01--ATV Trail Guide. This exhibit would be renamed, ``All-Terrain Vehicle Design Parameters'' and would be revised as discussed above regarding section 2.31a, exhibit 01. Additionally, the tread widths for switchbacks for Trail Class 4 would be preceded by ``greater than or equal to,'' instead of ``greater than.''

2.32c--Four-Wheel Drive Way Guide. The content of this section would be removed, and this section would be reserved for future development because the content is no longer current.

2.32d--Snowmobile Trail Guide. This section would be renamed, ``Snowmobile Design Parameters,'' and would be renumbered section 2.33b.

2.33--Snow Trails. This new section would address snow trails. Existing section 2.33, Special Trails, would be renumbered section 2.35.

2.33a--Cross Country Ski Trail Guide. This section would be renamed, ``Cross-Country Ski Design Parameters. Paragraph 1,'' ``Design and Location Considerations,'' would be revised to address snow trails overlaying standard terra trails. Paragraph 1c, ``Height,'' would be revised to reflect the clearing heights identified in the Cross-Country Ski Design Parameters. Paragraph d, ``Bridges,'' would be revised to replace the minimum bridge width with a reference to FSH 7709.56b, section 7.69, exhibit 01, Trail Bridge Design Criteria.

2.31d, Exhibit 01--Cross-Country Trail Guide. This exhibit would be renamed, ``Cross-Country Ski Design Parameters,'' would be renumbered section 2.33a, exhibit 01, and would be revised as discussed above regarding section 2.31a, exhibit 01. Additionally, the values for Trail Class 3, Two-Lane Tread Width, Trail Class 3 and 4, Design Clearing Widths, and Trail Class 2 and 3, Design Clearing Heights, would be

preceded by ``greater than or equal to,'' instead of ``greater than.'' The note regarding obstacles would be removed because it is self-evident. The note regarding radius would be removed because it would be addressed in the narrative section corresponding to this exhibit.

2.32d, Exhibit 01--Snowmobile Trail Guide. This exhibit would be renamed, ``Snowmobile Design Parameters,'' would be renumbered section 2.33b, exhibit 01, and would be revised as discussed above regarding section 2.31a, exhibit 01. Additionally, the values for Trail Class 3 and Trail Class 4, One-Lane Widths, Trail Class 2 through Trail Class 4, Two-Lane Widths, Trail Class 3 and Trail Class 4, Design Clearing Widths, Trail Class 2 and Trail Class 3, Design Clearing Heights, and Trail Class 4, Turning Radius, would be preceded by ``greater than or equal to,'' instead of ``greater than.'' The note for obstacles would be removed because it is self-evident. The note for radius would be removed because it would be covered in the narrative section corresponding to this exhibit.

2.33--Special Trails. This section would be renumbered section 2.35.

2.33a--Barrier-Free Trail Guide. This section would be renamed, ``Accessible Trails,'' would be renumbered section 2.35a, and would be revised to address implementation of the FSTAG.

2.33a, Exhibit 01--Barrier-Free Trail Guide. This exhibit would be removed because it has been superseded by the FSTAG.

2.33b--Interpretive Trail Guide. This section would be renamed, ``Interpretive Trails'' and would be renumbered section 2.35b. A sentence would be added to the beginning of paragraph 1, ``Design and Location Considerations,'' to indicate that interpretive trails usually fall into Trail Class 4 or Trail Class 5, but may occasionally fall into Trail Class 3, and have a Designed Use of Hiker/Pedestrian.

2.33c--Water Routes. This section would be renamed, ``Water Trails,'' would be renumbered section 2.34, and would be reserved for future development.

2.33d--Snowmobile Trail Guide. This section would be renamed, ``Snowmobile Design Parameters,'' and would be renumbered section 2.33b.

Chapter 3--Trail Preconstruction and Reconstruction

3.1--Preconstruction. In the first paragraph, ``hiker trail'' would be replaced with ``Hiker/Pedestrian Trail''

[[Page 38027]]

and ``barrier-free'' would be replaced with ``fully developed.''

3.11--Reconnaissance. The first sentence of this section would be revised to address Managed Use and Designed Use.

3.12b--Grade. In the sixth paragraph of this section, ``hikers'' would be replaced with ``Hiker/Pedestrians.'' In the ninth paragraph, ``any grade less than the maximum preferred grade for the trail type'' would be replaced with ``any grade within the range of target grades identified for the Designed Use,'' and ``relate to the difficulty level provided by the trail'' would be replaced with ``correlate to the Designed Use and the Trail Class.''

Chapter 4--Trail Operation and Maintenance

The introductory paragraph would be modified to address the Trail Fundamentals, TMOs, Trail Class, Managed Use, Designed Use, and the Design Parameters. The reference to difficulty levels would be removed.

4.1--Trail Operations. This section would be revised to add Managed Use to the first sentence. In the second sentence, the type of use would be replaced with the managed and accepted uses.

4.13--Public Information. This section would be revised to add a sentence at the beginning stating that general guidance on the appropriate level and type of signage is contained in the Design Parameters, and that specific guidance on these topics is contained in FSM 7160, Signs and Posters, and EM-7100-15, Standards for Forest Service Signs and Posters. Additional guidance on signs for accessible trails is contained in the FSTAG, which is posted at <http://www.fs.fed.us/recreation/programs/accessibility> EXIT Disclaimer.

4.14--Signs. This section would be revised to include a reference to the technical provisions for signs in the FSTAG.

4.22--Recording Maintenance. This section would be revised to replace objectives with Trail Management Objectives and trail guides with Design Parameters.

4.23--Maintenance Activity Groups. This section would be revised to replace current assigned and planned guide with assigned Design Parameters.

4.24--Exhibit 01. This exhibit, entitled Trail Log and Condition Survey, would be removed, and this section would be reserved.

4.25--Condition and Prescription Surveys. This section would be renamed, Condition Assessment and Prescription Surveys. The second paragraph of this section would be removed and would be reserved. In the third paragraph, ``management objectives'' would be replaced with ``Trail Management Objectives.'' In the third paragraph, item number 2, ``Planned Use of a Trail,'' the first sentence would be revised to address Trail Class, Managed Use, Designed Use, and the Design Parameters.

3. Regulatory Certifications

Environmental Impact

Section 31.12, paragraph 2, of FSH 1909.15 (67 FR 54622, August 23, 2002) excludes from documentation in an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement ``rules, regulations, or policies to establish Service-wide administrative procedures, program processes, or instructions.'' The agency has concluded that the proposed revision of the TCS and proposed implementing directives fall within this category of actions and that no extraordinary circumstances exist which would require preparation of an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement (see Back Country Horsemen of America v. Johanns, No. 05-0960 (ESH) (D.D.C. March 29, 2006), slip op. at 16-18).

Regulatory Impact

The proposed revision to the TCS and proposed implementing directives have been reviewed under USDA procedures and Executive Order 12866 on regulatory planning and review. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has determined that the proposed TCS and implementing directives are not significant. Accordingly, the proposed TCS and implementing directives are not required to be reviewed by OMB.

Moreover, the proposed TCS and implementing directives have been considered in light of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 602 et seq.). It has been determined that the proposed TCS and implementing directives would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities as defined by the act because the proposed TCS and implementing directives would not impose record-keeping requirements on them; would not affect their competitive position in relation to large entities; and would not affect their cash flow, liquidity, or ability to remain in the market. The proposed TCS and implementing directives would have no direct effect on small businesses.

No Takings Implications

The proposed TCS and implementing directives have been analyzed in accordance with the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 12630. It has been determined that the proposed TCS and implementing directives would not pose the risk of a taking of private property.

Civil Justice Reform

The proposed TCS and implementing directives have been reviewed under Executive Order 12988 on civil justice reform. After adoption of the proposed TCS and implementing directives, (1) all State and local laws and regulations that conflict with the proposed TCS and implementing directives or that impede their full implementation would be preempted; (2) no retroactive effect would be given to the proposed TCS and implementing directives; and (3) administrative proceedings would not be required before parties could file suit in court challenging their provisions.

Unfunded Mandates

Pursuant to Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531-1538), which the President signed into law on March 22, 1995, the agency has assessed the effects of the proposed TCS and implementing directives on State, local, and Tribal governments and the private sector. The proposed TCS and implementing directives would not compel the expenditure of \$100 million or more by any State, local, or Tribal government or anyone in the private sector. Therefore, a statement under section 202 of the act is not required.

Federalism and Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments

The agency has considered the proposed TCS and implementing directives under the requirements of Executive Order 13132 on federalism and has determined that the proposed TCS and implementing directives conform with the federalism principles set out in this Executive Order; would not impose any compliance costs on the States; and would not have substantial direct effects on the States, the relationship between the Federal government and the States, or the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, the agency has determined that no further assessment of federalism implications is necessary.

Moreover, the proposed TCS and implementing directives would not have Tribal implications as defined by Executive Order 13175, ``Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments,'' and therefore advance consultation with Tribes is not required.

[[Page 38028]]

Energy Effects

The proposed TCS and implementing directives have been reviewed under Executive Order 13211 of May 18, 2001, ``Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use.'' It has been determined that the proposed TCS and implementing directives would not constitute a significant energy action as defined in the Executive order.

Controlling Paperwork Burdens on the Public

The proposed TCS and implementing directives do not contain any record-keeping or reporting requirements or other information collection requirements as defined in 5 CFR part 1320 that are not already required by law or not already approved for use. Accordingly, the review provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (

44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.) and its implementing regulations at 5 CFR part 1320 do not apply.

Dated: June 26, 2006.

Dale N. Bosworth,
Chief, Forest Service.

4. Proposed Directives

The Forest Service organizes its directives system by alphanumeric codes and subject headings. Only those sections of the FSM and FSH that are the subject of this notice are set out here. The intended audience for this direction is Forest Service employees charged with administering the agency's trails program.

FOREST SERVICE MANUAL

FSM 2300--RECREATION, WILDERNESS, AND RELATED RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

FSM 2353--NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM TRAILS

* * * * *

2353.04g--Forest Supervisors

* * * * *

2. Apply the Trail Fundamentals in accordance with FSM 2353 for planning, management, and operation of National Forest System trails.

* * * * *

2353.05--Definitions

* * * * *

Design Parameters. Technical guidelines for trail survey, design, construction, maintenance, and assessment that are based on Designed Use and Trail Class.

Designed Use. The Managed Use of a trail that requires the most demanding design, construction, and maintenance parameters and that determines which design, construction, and maintenance parameters will apply to a trail.

Difficulty Level. The degree of challenge a trail presents to an average user's physical ability and skill, based on trail condition and route location factors such as alignment, steepness of grades, gain and loss of elevation, and amount and kind of natural barriers that must be crossed.

* * * * *

Managed Use. A mode of travel that is actively managed and appropriate on a trail, considering its design and management.

* * * * *

Trail Class. The prescribed scale of trail development, representing the intended design and management standards of the trail.

Trail Fundamentals. The five concepts that are the cornerstones of Forest Service trail management, consisting of Trail Type, Trail Class, Managed Use, Designed Use, and the Design Parameters.

Trail Management Objective. Documentation of the intended purpose and management of a National Forest System trail based on management area direction and access management objectives.

Trail Type. A category that reflects the predominant trail surface and general mode of travel accommodated by a trail.

* * * *

2353.19--Trail Management Objectives

Manage each trail to meet the trail management objectives (TMOs) identified for that trail, based on land management plan direction, travel management plan direction, trail-specific decisions, and other related direction. For each National Forest System trail or trail segment, identify and document its TMOs including the five Trail Fundamentals, Recreation Opportunity Spectrum classifications, design criteria, travel management strategies, and maintenance criteria.

2353.2--Trail Fundamentals

Identify the five Trail Fundamentals for each National Forest System trail or trail segment based on applicable land management plan direction, travel management plan direction, trail-specific decisions, and other related direction. Each Trail Fundamental is addressed in FSH 2309.18, section 1.4.

2353.3--Difficulty Levels

1. For trails with a Designed Use of Hiker/Pedestrian, refer to the direction on signs in section 7.3.10 of the FSTAG.

2. For other trail uses, as deemed appropriate and based on Trail Class, Designed Use, and other management considerations, use difficulty levels to communicate to trail users what to expect when using a trail and to broaden their recreation experience by introducing various degrees of challenge. If used, difficulty level symbols may be displayed on maps, brochures, and signs (see FSH 2309.18, ch. 2).

3. The three difficulty levels are:

a. Easiest. Requiring limited skill and involving limited challenge to traverse.

b. More Difficult. Requiring some skill and involving some challenge to traverse.

c. Most Difficult. Requiring a high degree of skill and involving a high degree of challenge to traverse.

FOREST SERVICE HANDBOOK

FSH 2309.18--TRAIL MANAGEMENT HANDBOOK

Zero Code

Section 05--Definitions

Design Parameters. Technical guidelines for trail survey, design, construction, maintenance, and assessment that are based on Designed Use and Trail Class.

Designed Use. The Managed Use of a trail that requires the most demanding design, construction, and maintenance parameters and that determines which design, construction, and maintenance parameters will apply to a trail.

Difficulty Level. The degree of challenge a trail presents to an average user's physical ability and skill, based on trail condition and

route location factors such as alignment, steepness of grades, gain and loss of elevation, and amount and kind of natural barriers that must be crossed.

Forest Trail. A trail wholly or partly within or adjacent to and serving the NFS that the Forest Service determines is necessary for the protection, administration, and utilization of the NFS and the use and development of its resources (36 CFR 212.1).

General Forest Area. National Forest System lands available for recreational use, other than wilderness areas, developed recreation sites, and administrative sites.

Managed Use. A mode of travel that is actively managed and appropriate on a trail, considering its design and management.

Maximum Pitch Density. The maximum percentage of the total trail length that falls within 5 percent (+/-) of the Short Pitch Maximum Grade.

National Forest System Trail. A forest trail other than a trail which has been authorized by a legally documented right-of-way held by a State, county, or

[[Page 38029]]

other local public road authority (36 CFR 212.1).

Short Pitch Maximum. The steepest grade expected along the trail, in lengths not exceeding 200 feet and not exceeding the Maximum Pitch Density.

Target Grade. The trail grade expected over the majority (at least 90 percent) of the trail length.

Trail Class. The prescribed scale of trail development, representing the intended design and management standards of the trail.

Trail Fundamentals. The five concepts that are the cornerstones of Forest Service trail management, consisting of Trail Type, Trail Class, Managed Use, Designed Use, and the Design Parameters.

Trail Management Objective. Documentation of the intended purpose and management of a National Forest System trail based on management area direction and access management objectives.

Trail Type. A category that reflects the predominant trail surface and general mode of travel accommodated by a trail.

* * * * *

Chapter One

1.2--Planning

1. Many of the general objectives for trails are in the applicable land management plan or in more detailed travel management decisions. These decisions may lack the detail needed to guide field operations. Analyze specific concerns to determine standards for a specific trail or trail system, maintenance schedules, funding, management of trail use, and priorities for construction and reconstruction.

2. Recognize the need for more detailed analysis when resource conditions change, new recreation opportunities are discovered, conflicts among uses arise, or new public issues emerge.

3. Consider trail management in the context of a land unit. Establish and document trail management objectives and associated management requirements by examining the interaction of resource activities, recreation opportunities, and constraints of the area.

* * * * *

1.4--Trail Fundamentals

For each National Forest System trail or trail segment, apply the

Trail Fundamentals in accordance with FSM 2353.2 and FSH 2309.18, sections 1.41 through 1.45.

1.41--Trail Types

1. There are three Trail Types applicable to National Forest System trails:

a. Standard/Terra Trails: Trails which have a surface consisting predominantly of the ground, and which are designed and managed to accommodate use on that surface.

b. Snow Trails: Trails, as opposed to winter play areas or other areas of concentrated public use, which have a surface consisting predominantly of snow or ice, and which are designed and managed to accommodate use on that surface.

c. Water Trails: Trails, as opposed to stretches of whitewater that are managed for river-based recreation., which have a surface consisting predominantly of water, which are designed and managed to accommodate use on that surface, and which may include land-based portages.

2. Trail Types are an inventory convention that allows managers to identify trail-specific Design Parameters, management needs, and the cost of managing the trail for particular uses or seasons.

3. There can be only one Trail Type identified per trail or trail segment. Identify the applicable Trail Type for each National Forest System trail based on applicable land management plan direction, travel management plan direction, trail-specific decisions, and other related direction.

4. When there is an overlap in Trail Types (such as, a snow trail overlaps a standard/terra trail), inventory the trail under both Trail Types in the Infra Trails Module.

1.42--Trail Classes

1. The five trail classes range from least developed (Trail Class 1) to most developed (Trail Class 5):

Trail Class 1: Minimal/Undeveloped Trail.

Trail Class 2: Simple/Minor Development Trail.

Trail Class 3: Developed/Improved Trail.

Trail Class 4: Highly Developed Trail.

Trail Class 5: Fully Developed Trail.

2. Trail Classes are an inventory convention used to identify applicable Design Parameters and to determine the cost to meet the National Quality Standards for trails.

3. Trail Class descriptors reflect typical attributes of trails in each class. Trail-specific exceptions may occur for any Trail Class descriptor, provided that the general intent of the corresponding Trail Class is retained.

4. There is a direct relationship between Trail Class and Managed Use: one cannot be determined without consideration of the other.

5. There can be only one Trail Class identified per trail or trail segment.

6. Identify the applicable Trail Class for each National Forest System trail or trail segment based on applicable land management plan direction, travel management plan direction, trail-specific decisions, and other related direction. The appropriate Trail Class should be determined at the trail-specific level. Apply the Trail Class that most closely matches the trail's TMOs.

7. See the Trail Class Matrix (FSH 2309.18, sec. 1.42, ex. 01).

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

[[Page 38030]]

[GRAPHIC]
[TIFF OMITTED]
TN03JY06.025

[[Page 38031]]
[GRAPHIC]
[TIFF OMITTED]
TN03JY06.026
BILLING CODE 3410-11-C

[[Page 38032]]

1.43--Managed Use

1. Managed Use indicates a management intent to accommodate a specific use.

2. The Managed Uses for a trail are usually a small subset of all the accepted uses on the trail (i.e., uses that are allowed unless specifically prohibited). For example, on a trail that is closed to all motorized use, but open to all non-motorized use, the Managed Uses could be Hiker/Pedestrian and Pack and Saddle. The accepted uses, however, would also include bicycles, llamas, and all other non-motorized uses.

3. There can be more than one Managed Use per trail or trail segment.

4. Identify the applicable Managed Use or Managed Uses for each National Forest System trail or trail segment based on applicable land management plan direction, travel management plan direction, trail-specific decisions, and other related direction. Develop trails for a variety of Managed Uses, such as hiking, horseback riding, and motorcycling.

5. There is a direct relationship between Managed Use and Trail Class: one cannot be determined without the other. Not all Trail Classes are applicable to all Managed Uses. For guidance on the potential applicability of each Trail Class to each Managed Use, see FSH 2309.18, section 1.43, exhibit 01, Trail Class and Managed Use Application Guide. The combinations presented in this matrix are generally applicable agency-wide, although trail-specific exceptions may occur.

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

[[Page 38033]]
[GRAPHIC]
[TIFF OMITTED]
TN03JY06.027
BILLING CODE 3410-11-C

[[Page 38034]]

1.44--Designed Use

1. There is only one Designed Use per trail or trail segment. Although a trail or trail segment may have more than one Managed Use and numerous uses may be allowed, only one Managed Use is identified as the design driver or Designed Use.

2. Determine the Designed Use for a trail or trail segment from the Managed Uses identified for that trail.

1.45--Design Parameters

1. Design Parameters reflect the design objective for a trail and determine the dominant physical criteria that most define its geometric shape. These physical criteria include:

- a. Design Tread Width.
- b. Design Surface, expressed in terms of type and obstacles.
- c. Design Grade, expressed as:
 - (1) Target Grade;
 - (2) Short Pitch Maximum; and
 - (3) Maximum Pitch Density.
- e. Design Cross-Slope, expressed as a target range and maximum.
- f. Design Clearing, expressed as width and height.
- g. Design Turns, expressed as the radius.

2. Local exceptions to any Design Parameter can be established based on specific trail conditions, topography, and other factors, provided that the exceptions reflect the general intent of the corresponding Trail Class.

3. The complete set of Design Parameters is contained in section 2.31a, exhibit 01, through section 2.33b, exhibit 01, of this handbook.

4. Based on the Trail Class and Designed Use for a National Forest System trail or trail segment, identify the applicable Design Parameters for that trail or trail segment. For a Design Parameter expressed as a range of values (e.g., Design Tread Width, Design Clearing Width, and Design Turns), identify a specific value applicable to the trail or trail segment.

1.5--Analysis Process

* * * * *

1.6--Information Needs

* * * * *

1.64--Opportunities and Constraints

* * * * *

11. What Trail Classes does the trail system offer?

* * * * *

1.65--Relation to Existing Facilities

* * * * *

4. Are accessible trails in the area?

* * * * *

1.7--Establishment of Priorities and Management Requirements

1. In addition to Trail Class, Managed Uses, Designed Use, and the Designed Parameters, consider the following when establishing priorities and management requirements for trail projects:

- a. Safety hazards to users.
- b. Potential for or occurrence of resource damage.
- c. Intensity of trail use.
- d. Whether the trail is located in such a way as to affect or benefit from other resource activities.
- e. Preliminary cost estimates for construction or reconstruction.
- f. Preliminary requirements for supplemental trailhead and other trail-related facilities needed to complement the trail system.
- g. Program funding, availability of volunteer support, and scheduling of work.

h. Public desires.

2. FSH 2309.18, section 1.6, exhibit 01, Trail Operation and Maintenance Considerations, offers general guidelines that assist in developing trail prescriptions and in subsequent program management, operation, and maintenance. The considerations are a general starting point and will likely be adapted to reflect local financial limitations and site-specific district, forest, or regional circumstances.

Exceptions may occur at the trail-specific, district, forest, or regional level.

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

[[Page 38035]]

[GRAPHIC]

[TIFF OMITTED]

TN03JY06.028

BILLING CODE 3410-11-C

[[Page 38036]]

1.8--Considerations for Trail Planning

1. The following section provides an example of a district trail plan resulting from the analysis process. Section 1.8, exhibit 01, illustrates the plotting of projects on a map; section 1.8, exhibit 02, shows the incorporation of a trail plan into the district trail inventory; section 1.8, exhibit 03, illustrates how a trail plan is addressed in the budget process.

2. The following four trails in the trail plan illustrate how safety, protection of wilderness values, availability of resources, need for preconstruction, and availability to the user influence priority, scheduling, and management requirements.

a. Big Rock Trail. This trail currently is managed for motorcycles, with a Designed Use of Motorcycle. Motorcycle use on the trail is high and increasing. The lower 5 miles meet the Motorcycle Design Parameters, except for brushing out. The upper 5 miles are less than standard and would require major reconstruction to meet the Motorcycle Design Parameters. The trail falls into Trail Class 3. The area is managed for a roaded natural experience. There are limited opportunities for motorcycle trails in the area.

Analysis resulted in a decision to reconstruct the trail to meet the Motorcycle Design Parameters for Trail Class 3, so as to provide a high-volume, motorcycle trail consistent with the roaded natural character of the area. Preconstruction is necessary for the reconstruction.

b. Kawishiwi Trail. This is an unauthorized, four-wheel drive road in a wilderness area. The trail use is low-volume, four-wheel driving and moderate-volume hiking. The management goal for the area is to eliminate illegal motorized use in this wilderness area and to naturalize sections of the four-wheel drive way. Inadequate parking at the trailhead is also a problem. If this trail were linked to the Moraine Trail, a single trailhead could serve both trails.

Analysis resulted in a decision to close the route to vehicles and to allow it to revert to a moderate-volume, Trail Class 3 trail. Barriers to close the route are needed, and the parking facilities need to be decreased in order for the trail to qualify as Trail Class 3. Informational and regulatory signs are also needed. Actions are identified to hasten the return of this trail to a more primitive character. A short (1/3-mile) trail connecting the Kawishiwi Trail with the Moraine Trail will be constructed to allow use of a common trailhead. Planned use is consistent with the semiprimitive character and wilderness designation.

c. Moraine Ski Trail. This trail currently is used for cross-country skiing in the winter. Cross-country skiing on the trail is increasing rapidly, but users complain of a 3-mile segment that falls into Trail Class 2 on a long trail that generally falls into Trail Class 3. Preliminary reconnaissance indicates that minor clearing of brush and small trees has occurred and that marking of the trail is necessary. A local nordic club has volunteered to help on the project. This is one of few areas in this drainage where plowed roads provide winter access.

Analysis resulted in a decision to change the Trail Class for the 3-mile section from Trail Class 2 to Trail Class 3. Increased maintenance of those 3 miles will be required. Space is identified to expand the parking area and provide adequate parking to the shared trailhead with the Kawishiwi Trail. The desired recreation experience is consistent with the semiprimitive, non-motorized character of the area.

d. Meadows Trail. The District identified a need and opportunity to construct a relatively short, interpretive hiking trail to provide day hiking near a major campground.

Analysis based on estimated use resulted in a decision to construct a high-volume, Class 4 trail designed and managed for hiker/pedestrian use only. Other uses are prohibited. Planned use is consistent with the roaded natural character of the area.

Chapter Two

* * * * *

2.03--Policy

In determining the Designed Use of a National Forest System trail or trail segment, consider all Managed Uses that occur during all seasons of use of the trail or trail segment.

* * * * *

2.21--Trail Management Objectives (TMOs)

Consider and incorporate trail-specific TMOs in the design, development, maintenance, and condition assessment of all National Forest System trails.

* * * * *

2.23a--Trailhead Location

1. Provide trailheads in locations that allow access to the greatest number and types of trails. Match the development scale and size of the trailhead facility to the carrying capacity of the area and to the Trail Classes of the trails to be served.

2. Consider snow use as well as non-snow use where appropriate, along with opportunities for using existing facilities. Other considerations include pull-through parking for vehicles with trailers, space for unloading trailers and stock trucks, and safety of vehicles while unattended.

3. Use visual resource management principles to minimize the visual impacts of a trailhead on trail users.

4. All constructed features must comply with the applicable technical provisions of the Architectural Barriers Act Accessibility Standards (ABAAS) or the Forest Service Outdoor Recreation Accessibility Guidelines (FSORAG). The routes connecting trailhead constructed features must comply with the technical provisions for outdoor recreation access routes in the FSORAG. The FSORAG is available

electronically at <http://www.fs.fed.us/recreation/programs/accessibility> [EXIT Disclaimer](#).

2.23b--Trailhead Parking

1. When space is available, consider separate parking facilities for certain uses, such as horseback riding and hiking. Provide separate facilities within walking distance of areas of concentrated public use, such as campgrounds. Locate the trailhead next to the trail so that non-highway-legal vehicles (for example, non-highway-legal motorcycles and snowmobiles) are not forced to travel on roads that may be used only by highway-legal vehicles.

2. When 5 or more designated parking spaces are provided at a trailhead, they must comply with the technical provisions in the ABAAS for accessible parking spaces.

2.23c--Pack and Saddle Trailheads

* * * * *

2.23d--Snow Removal at Trailheads

* * * * *

2.23e--Application of Forest Service Trail Accessibility Guidelines (FSTAG)

Ensure that all new or altered trails with a Designed Use of Hiker/Pedestrian that connect directly to a currently accessible trail or to a trailhead comply with the Forest Service Trail Accessibility Guidelines. The FSTAG is available electronically at <http://www.fs.fed.us/recreation/programs/accessibility> [EXIT Disclaimer](#).

2.24--Facilities and Associated Constructed Features Along Trails

1. Associated constructed features along trails include shelters, toilets, and other structures that provide support for trail users. These associated constructed features must comply with the

[[Page 38037]]

FSORAG. Under the Forest Service's universal design policy, with few exceptions, all new or altered facilities and associated constructed features must comply with the technical provisions of the FSORAG or ABAAS, rather than only a certain percentage of those facilities.

2. These associated constructed features must be designed appropriately for the setting and in compliance with the FSORAG to ensure that the facility can be used for its primary purpose by all hikers, including hikers with disabilities. See the FSORAG for specific technical provisions. This requirement applies but is not limited to:

a. Pit toilets With No Walls in a General Forest Area (GFA). The total height of the toilet seat and the riser it sits on must be 17 to 19 inches above the ground or floor. A clear floor or ground space complying with section 6.6.6 of the FSORAG must be provided adjacent to the riser. Since walls are not provided, grab bars are not required.

b. Trail Shelters or Lean-Tos With Three Walls in a GFA. Where the constructed finished floor elevation is above the ground, a shelter or lean-to must be located so that at least one section of the floor on the open side of the shelter or lean-to is 17 to 19 inches above ground to facilitate transfer from a wheelchair.

2.25--Wilderness Considerations

* * * * *

8. To provide trail treads that do not exceed the tread widths specified for a wilderness area in the Design Parameters.

* * * * *

2.3--Design Parameters

1. The Design Parameters reflect the design objective for a trail and determine the dominant physical criteria that most define its geometric shape. These physical criteria include tread width, surface, grade, cross-slope, clearing width and height, and turning radius.

2. Although a variety of Trail Types, Managed Uses, and Designed Uses are discussed in this handbook, not every administrative unit or Ranger District must offer all Trail Types, Managed Uses, Designed Uses, or any combination of them. Planning should determine specific needs. A variety of other trail activities exists, including cave, glacier, underwater, and dogsled opportunities. Regional Design Parameters may be developed for these opportunities as needed. If a particular activity becomes common, a national set of Design Parameters will be developed.

3. The following sets of Design Parameters are included as exhibits in sections 2.31 through 2.33:

- a. Standard/Terra Trails: Non-Motorized
 - (1) Hiker/Pedestrian
 - (2) Pack and Saddle
 - (3) Bicycle
- b. Standard/Terra Trails: Motorized
 - (1) Motorcycle
 - (2) All-Terrain Vehicle (ATV)
- c. Snow Trails
 - (1) Cross-Country Ski
 - (2) Snowmobile
- d. Water Trails
- [Reserved]

2.31--Standard/Terra Trails: Non-Motorized

2.31a--Hiker/Pedestrian Design Parameters

1. Trails with a Designed Use of Hiker/Pedestrian generally require less development than trails with other Designed Uses and offer maximum opportunity to bring users close to nature. Tread width, clearing width and height, alignment, and structures for crossing streams normally are of a smaller scale.

2. On trails with a Designed Use of Hiker/Pedestrian, grades leading to and away from switchbacks should not be less than 10 percent. Reduce the grade on the turn to less than 10 percent for a distance of 5 to 6 feet. The radius of switchbacks for these trails can be very tight, e.g., 2 feet to 4 feet. When needed, ensure the prevention of cross-cutting by installing rocks, logs, native vegetation, or other material.

3. When trails with a Designed Use of Hiker/Pedestrian cross wet areas or streams, select routes that require the fewest structures. In designing structures to cross wet areas, the tread, whether in the form of stepping stones or flattened logs, should be at least 12 inches wide. Set stepping stones no more than 24 inches apart.

4. Design bridges to prevent overloading, especially if they are located in areas used by pack and saddle stock.

5. The upper limit for grade for trails with a Designed Use of Hiker/Pedestrian reaches the lower limit for grade for mountaineering scramble routes. These routes, which require the use of non-constructed hand and toe holes or ropes, should not be included in the Hiker/Pedestrian trail category.

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

[[Page 38038]]

[GRAPHIC]

[TIFF OMITTED]

TN03JY06.029

BILLING CODE 3410-11-C

[[Page 38039]]

2.31b--Pack and Saddle Design Parameters

1. Trails with a Designed Use of Pack and Saddle are designed and maintained to accommodate a wide variety of pack and saddle animals, including horses, mules, donkeys, and burros. Some trails are simple day-use bridle paths and others are built to accommodate long strings of pack animals on journeys lasting many days. The combination of shorter and longer trails affords opportunities for natural experiences for the greatest range in user ability and knowledge.

2. Give special consideration to the care and safety of livestock and their riders when locating trails with a Designed Use of Pack and Saddle. If practicable, provide access to streams or lakes for stock watering at intervals no greater than 10 miles. Notify users if water intervals are excessive. Avoid locations near campgrounds or other concentrated-use areas where dogs or loud noises could startle pack and saddle animals. If the trail must cross highways or railroads, select sites with adequate sight distance.

3. Consider the use of climbing turns if the terrain permits. Design switchbacks with a curve radius that is as long as possible, but no less than 5 feet. To discourage short-cutting, design grades of at least 10 to 15 percent for a distance of 100 feet to and from switchbacks. Consider using a rock or log barrier for a distance of 15 to 30 feet back from the turning point.

4. Clearing needs vary depending on whether trails are designed for day rides or pack animals. Pack clearance normally is measured at a point 30 inches above the center of the tread. Three feet on both sides of the centerline is the minimum clearance for pack trails.

5. Additional widening is needed to accommodate pack clearance on trails cut through solid rock on steep sidehills. Along a precipice or other hazardous area, the trail base should be at least 48 to 60 inches wide to be safe for both animals and riders.

6. Pack and saddle animals can cause severe wear and tear on the trail tread, especially when soils are wet. When possible, locate trails on stable soil types or on side-slopes where water can be drained away. Gravel surfacing, turnpiking, or puncheon may be needed on wet sections.

7. Fords are preferred to bridges for stream crossings, provided the velocity and depth of the water are acceptable during the normal season of use. Route the trail to natural fords, rather than building fords. Generally, streams can be forded safely if they are less than 24 inches in depth. Construction of a ford requires widening the trail base to at least 36-inches, removing large rocks, and leveling the stream bottom to make a relatively smooth and level crossing. If necessary, widen the streambed to reduce depth and velocity to make the ford viable. Ice buildup during late fall may be an important

factor to consider.

8. If a decision is made to build a bridge for pack and saddle animals, select a bridge site with an adequate foundation for abutments and stream piers. The bridge must have a load-carrying capacity equal to the maximum number of loaded animals that can occupy it at one time or the maximum anticipated snow load, whichever is greater. Design railings to prevent packs from getting caught. For minimum bridge widths and railing heights, see FSH 7709.56b, section 7.69, exhibit 01, Trail Bridge Design Criteria.

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

[[Page 38040]]

[GRAPHIC]

[TIFF OMITTED]

TN03JY06.030

[[Page 38041]]

[GRAPHIC]

[TIFF OMITTED]

TN03JY06.031

BILLING CODE 3410-11-C

[[Page 38042]]

2.32--Standard/Terra Trails: Motorized

2.32a--Motorcycle Design Parameters

1. Generally, motorcycling on National Forest System lands is a trail-based activity with an emphasis on the National Forest setting.

2. Avoid locating motorcycle trails on National Forest System roads where state laws require motorcycles to be highway-legal vehicles.

3. Designate suitable closed roads as National Forest System trails open to motorcycle use.

4. On Trail Class 4 trails, alignment is moderate, with no sharp curves combined with steep grades. Novice riders may be subjected to sharp curves, but not in combination with rough surfaces or steep grades.

5. Trail alignment should exhibit increasing randomness as the rating progresses from Trail Class 4 to Trail Class 2.

6. User needs for different distances and experiences can be accommodated by providing cutoffs on a system of loop trails. An experienced rider can ride approximately 50 miles in an average day. Some riders can cover over 100 miles of trail. This travel normally includes trails ranging from Trail Class 2 to Trail Class 4.

7. Favor drainage dips over water bars.

8. Use climbing turns, and avoid switchbacks whenever possible.

Design turns to minimize excavation and cutbank exposure.

9. For trails in Trail Class 4, locate turns on level ground or on slopes of less than 6 percent. The minimum radius of a switchback is 8 feet. Tread width should be increased to 36 inches for switchbacks with a 4-foot radius. On novice and intermediate trails, provide a 4 to 6-foot barrier on the downhill exit of the switchback.

10. The radii of turns should vary depending on the speed of the motorcycle entering the turns. The trail designer can slow the speed of the motorcycle by decreasing the turning radius. The designer also may increase the length of a trail in a limited area by increasing the number of turns.

11. Hardening of switchback or climbing turns on sensitive soils is recommended. Suggested hardening materials include concrete blocks, soil, and cement.

12. For minimum bridge widths and railing heights, refer to FSH 7709.56b, section 7.69, exhibit 01, Trail Bridge Design Criteria. Bridges should have a straight approach and should not change directions. Special decking may be necessary to accommodate wheeled vehicles.

13. Locate trail junctions so that no more than 2 trails intersect at one point.

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

[[Page 38043]]

[GRAPHIC]

[TIFF OMITTED]

TN03JY06.032

[[Page 38044]]

[GRAPHIC]

[TIFF OMITTED]

TN03JY06.033

BILLING CODE 3410-11-C

2.33--Snow Trails

2.33a--Cross-Country Ski Design Parameters

1. Trails with a Designed Use of Cross-Country Ski are snow trails that are designed specifically for winter travel. They may, however, also coincide with or overlay a standard/terra trail that is managed during the non-snow season of use. When this occurs, identification of applicable Design Parameters should be based on consideration of both the Designed Use identified for the standard/terra trail and the Designed Use identified for the Snow Trail. Select the Design Paramaters with the most demanding

[[Page 38045]]

design, construction, and maintenance requirements.

2. Opportunities to enjoy the natural setting are generally enhanced in the winter, but should be considered under both winter and summer conditions. Locate or review potential trail locations during the winter months.

3. Locate cross-country ski trails where reliable snow conditions exist for 2 to 3 months. Utilize topography to extend the period of snow cover. Consider aspect, prevailing wind direction, shading, and microclimate factors.

4. Avoid avalanche hazards. Consult with those knowledgeable of local avalanche hazards before developing cross-country ski trails.

5. Avoid hazardous stream and lake crossings. Normally, six inches of hard blue ice is considered safe for cross-country ski trails.

6. Avoid locating trails under dense canopies, especially in tall, old-growth stands. The canopy intercepts much of the snowfall, and when the air temperature rises, large chunks of snow fall on the trail.

7. Like downhill ski runs, cross-country ski trails are rated by difficulty level: easiest, more difficult, and most difficult. Although this is a relative rating, trails rated as easiest should always be designed for novice skiers under normal snow conditions. Trails rated most difficult should provide challenges but no unusual difficulties to experienced skiers. More difficult trails will fall somewhere between these two extremes.

8. Provide only sweeping curves on downhill sections. Locate

outruns to permit users to slow down before turning. A check-space in midslope is desirable on long downhill runs. Alignment must correlate with grade. Avoid sharp turns on snow trails.

a. Trail Width and Tread Considerations. On trails with a Designed Use of Cross-Country Ski, widths vary depending on the terrain, steepness of the trail, sharpness of curves, amount of use, and number of tracks. On flat or gently rolling terrain (grades of up to 3 percent), single-track groomed trails are cleared to 6 to 8 feet wide, and double-track groomed trails are cleared to 10 to 12 feet wide. Steeper, uphill sections should include extra clearing width where herringbone or sidestep skiing techniques might be used. The extra clearing width should be one-half times the normal width, up to 14 feet. Downhill sections require extra widening commensurate with the speed of the hill: the lower portions and runout require the most widening, while the upper portions require less. Normally, a downhill run is cleared to 1.5 times the normal width from approximately one-third to two-thirds of the way down the hill. From two-thirds of the way down to the bottom and through the runout, the trail is cleared to twice the normal width.

b. Trail Length. Accommodate user needs for different distances and degrees of challenge by providing cutoffs on a system of loop trails, as follows:

Recommended lengths	Half day (mi)	Full day (mi)
Easiest Trail.....	3.2	6.4
Most Difficult Trail.....	6.4	9.5

c. Height. Clear overhead branches and obstructions the full width of the trail to a height of 10 feet above the average peak season snow depth.

d. Bridges. For minimum bridge widths and railing heights, see FSH 7709.56b, section 7.69, Exhibit 01, Trail Bridge Design Criteria. All ski trail bridges must be designed to allow skiers to stop safely before crossing and must provide adequate track width under maximum snow cover. Bridges on groomed trails must accommodate the width of grooming equipment.

e. Intersections. Approaches to intersections must have grades of 5 percent or less to allow for speed control. Clear intersections to a diameter of twice the trail width.

f. Marking Standards. Cross-country ski trails should be marked so that travelers unfamiliar with the trails can follow them during poor weather conditions, with no tracks to follow, under relatively poor lighting conditions. See FSH 7109.11, Sign Handbook, for guidance on marking trails.

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

[[Page 38046]]
[GRAPHIC]
[TIFF OMITTED]
TN03JY06.034

[[Page 38047]]
[GRAPHIC]
[TIFF OMITTED]
TN03JY06.035

[[Page 38048]]
[GRAPHIC]
[TIFF OMITTED]
TN03JY06.036

[[Page 38049]]
[GRAPHIC]
[TIFF OMITTED]
TN03JY06.037

BILLING CODE 3410-11-C

2.34--Water Trails [Reserved]

2.35--Special Trails

2.35a--Accessibility

1. The Forest Service Trail Accessibility Guidelines (FSTAG) provide guidance for maximizing accessibility of trails in the National Forest System, while recognizing and protecting the unique characteristics of their natural setting. Appropriate application of the FSTAG will ensure

[[Page 38050]]

that the full range of trail opportunities continues to be provided, from primitive long-distance trails to highly developed trails and popular scenic overlooks. Application of FSTAG is not intended to change the Trail Class or Designed Use prescribed for a trail. The FSTAG is available electronically at <http://www.fs.fed.us/recreation/programs/accessibility>.

2. To ensure integration between this handbook and the FSTAG, a synopsis of application of the FSTAG to trails in the National Forest System follows.

3. Refer to the FSTAG for direction on assessment, development, and management of trails that are subject to the FSTAG.

4. The FSTAG applies to trails in the National Forest System that:

a. Are new or altered (an alteration is a change in the original purpose, intent, or design of a trail);

b. Have a Designed Use of Hiker/Pedestrian; and

c. Connect directly to a currently accessible trail or to a trailhead.

5. While trail designers and managers are encouraged to look for opportunities where accessibility may be improved beyond those trails where it is required, the uniqueness of each trail must be preserved. The FSTAG contains conditions for departure and exceptions that apply when application of a technical provision would cause a change in a trail's setting or the purpose or function for which a trail was designed.

6. The FSTAG probably will not apply to most portions of existing primitive, long-distance trails. However, the FSTAG may apply to some segments of those trails, such as where they pass through a more developed area. The FSTAG contains exceptions that will prevent accessibility from being pointlessly applied in a piecemeal fashion along a trail when access between trail segments is not possible. The FSTAG also contains requirements to provide accessibility to special features where possible.

7. If materials need to be obtained from or manipulated on a sign or kiosk, the sign or kiosk must be designed to meet the reach ranges in 308 of the ABAAS.

8. In accordance with the Forest Service policy of universal

design, trail information must be provided in a manner that will permit users to evaluate the appropriateness of a trail for their ability, resources, and the type of trail experience they are seeking.

9. Signs must be posted at the trailhead of new or altered trails and trail segments that fall into Trail Class 4 or Trail Class 5, as well as at the trailhead of trails that have been evaluated for accessibility. At a minimum, in addition to the standard information including the name and length of the trail, these signs must include the typical and maximum trail grade, typical and maximum cross-slope, typical and minimum tread width, surface type and firmness, and obstacles. These signs also should state that the posted information reflects the condition of the trail when it was constructed or assessed and should include the date of the construction or assessment.

10. Where more extensive trail information is provided (e.g., an aerial map of the trail and related facilities), the location of specific trail features and obstacles that do not comply with the FSTAG's technical provisions should be identified and a profile of the trail grade should be included.

11. The international symbol of accessibility, (the wheelchair symbol), should not be used in trail signage.

12. Local managers have the discretion to decide whether to post FSTAG signage on newly constructed or altered trails that fall into Trail Class 1, Trail Class 2, or Trail Class 3.

2.35b--Interpretive Trails

1. While interpretive trails may be managed for a variety of uses, they most often fall into Trail Class 4 or Trail Class 5, with a Designed Use of Hiker/Pedestrian, but sometimes fall into Trail Class 3.

2. Interpretive trails offer access to areas with natural geologic, historical, or cultural significance. They provide a recreation experience to enrich visitors' understanding of the environment and fulfill national forest management objectives through interpretation. Consider providing interpretive trails in a wide range of forest settings with maximum interaction between users and the environment.

3. An interpretive plan is recommended as the basis for development of most interpretive trails. Interpretive plans vary in complexity and scope, depending on the trail being developed. In developing an interpretive plan, consider the following at a minimum:

a. Determine the audience to be reached. Invite user participation in development of the trail.

b. Determine the specific objectives of the message.

c. Determine the appropriate media (for example, trail signing, audio stations, or brochures) that are best suited to the message and audience.

d. Evaluate all sites that provide the intended message and theme. Consider population proximity, amount of expected use, adjacent facilities and services, and general desirability of the area.

e. Evaluate what the area has to offer and what visitors want. Develop the trail message to enhance visitors' knowledge.

f. Inventory the selected site to identify its limitations, opportunities, and fragile areas. Inventorying may be accomplished by developing a grid with parallel strips representing every 50 to 100 feet. On each strip the surveyor would note items of interest or map items. These rudimentary maps then would be refined into a more detailed map.

g. Use a multidisciplinary approach in developing an interpretive plan.

4. Avoid critical wildlife habitats and other fragile, unusual, and sensitive areas unless they can be adequately protected or conduct only guided walks through these areas.

5. The standard interpretive trail is usually less than 1 mile long. Additional shorter loops can be part of the longer section. Interpretation of special areas can be provided on any trail.

6. Locate interpretive trails near population centers or near heavily used national forest developments. However, locate the trail area away from noise and distracting activities. Some distracting conditions can be mitigated by a vegetation screen.

7. Select a route with a wide range of special features or one that illustrates a single purpose (sometimes known as a theme trail). This approach is preferred.

8. The following design criteria apply to most interpretive trails:

a. Design the message or theme of the trail to achieve management objectives, develop user awareness, and promote enjoyment of the area.

b. Space stops to allow users to absorb ideas. Plan for approximately 10 to 15 signs or stops per trail, with stops at least 200 feet apart. If more than 15 stops are planned, consider using brochures.

c. Separate trailheads may be located within walking distance from areas of concentrated public use, such as campgrounds.

d. Take special care in designing entry signs, registration stations, brochure distribution boxes, and other signs to present a positive image and a pleasant entrance experience.

e. Write the message at the educational and social level of the anticipated users. Indicate in the message why the item is important. Test stops and text on representatives of the

[[Page 38051]]

intended audience before final development. Redesign as necessary.

f. Do not interpret all items on the trail. Those items not interpreted can be added later to vary the message. Consider a seasonal approach, if possible.

g. Call attention to items to observe between stops, such as birds and animals, by noting them on signs or in brochures.

Chapter 3--Trail Preconstruction and Reconstruction

3.1--Preconstruction

1. Preconstruction must begin early and must be completed prior to construction. The scope of preconstruction depends upon the type of facility being designed. A minimally developed hiker/pedestrian trail may require less preconstruction than a fully developed trail. Regardless of the level of development, the series of steps remains the same and begins with reconnaissance.

2. Determine whether the FSTAG will apply to the trail being constructed or reconstructed. If the FSTAG applies, it must be followed from initiation of reconnaissance. See the Process Overview in Appendix A of the FSTAG.

3.11--Reconnaissance

Reconnaissance includes identification and evaluation of alternative routes and leads to selection of the best possible routes and facility to meet established objectives for Trail Class, Managed Uses, and Designed Use. Application of sound principles of trail location, alignment, and grade will minimize future operation and maintenance problems.

* * * * *

3.12b--Grade

1. Early reconnaissance and environmental analysis should provide the range of preferred grades for a specific trail. The location of the grade line on the ground is the most important element of trail development: Trail grade influences the length of trail, level of difficulty, and drainage and maintenance requirements. Therefore, grade usually is the controlling factor for trail location. Undulate the grade to provide natural drainage and variation and to eliminate long, steady grades, which are tiring to the user.

2. A slight downhill grade is necessary to provide cross-drainage and to provide grade undulations for drainage purposes. These sections of grade must be designed to avoid excessively steep sections of trail grade.

3. In areas where there is a potential for trail erosion, roll the grade to create natural-appearing drainage dips at appropriate intervals to divert water from the trail.

4. Spacing of drainage facilities to intercept water running down a trail is influenced by soil type and grade, which affect water velocity. Determine the appropriate spacing before locating the trail and establishing cross drainage from rolling gradeline. Section 3.12, Exhibit 02, shows spacing requirements for various soil types and grade percentages.

5. Where soil types or tread-hardening techniques provide necessary resource protection, steeper grades may be permitted.

6. Some trails with a Designed Use of Hiker/Pedestrian could have runs of rock steps for 30 percent or more of the total trail length. A trail could have some short, steep pitches to take advantage of an area of highly stable terrain that can be easily protected from erosion. Use runs of steps for certain types of trails when grades between control points would exceed either user comfort or soil stability.

7. Avoid flat grades where possible. Trails that must be located through meadows, savannahs, and other low areas should be considered for walkways, puncheon, or tread stabilization.

8. Increase grades 10 to 15 percent at approaches to switchbacks to avoid cross-cutting by trail users.

9. A level-off grade should be located at the end of steep, sustained grades. A level-off grade is any grade within the range of target grades identified for the Designed Use. The length and grade of the level-off section should correlate to the Designed Use and the Trail Class.

Chapter 4--Trail Operation and Maintenance

Trail management objectives, including the five Trail Fundamentals, provide the basis for developing trail operation and maintenance strategies. In addition to the Trail Fundamentals identified for the trail, some key considerations are expected amount, type, and timing of use.

4.1--Trail Operation

Trail operation involves management of the type, volume, and season of use for the Managed Uses of a trail to achieve its TMOs. Elements of trail operation include monitoring the volume of use, the type of use, and the effects of use on the TMOSS; implementing trail restrictions; and informing users through guides and signs of the intended use for each trail.

* * * *

4.13--Public Information

General guidance on the appropriate level and type of signing by Trail Class is provided in the Design Parameters. Specific direction on signing and public information is contained in FSM 7160, Signs and Posters, and EM-7100-15, Standards for Forest Service Signs and Posters. Additional direction on signs for accessible trails is contained in the FSTAG, which is available electronically at <http://www.fs.fed.us/recreation/programs/accessibility>.

* * * * *

4.14--Signs

Signs should follow the direction contained in FSM 7160, Signs and Posters, and EM-7100-15, Standards for Forest Service Signs and Posters. Additional direction on signs for accessible trails is contained in Section 7.3.10 of the FSTAG.

* * * * *

4.22--Recording Maintenance

Maintenance shall be recorded as standard when a trail is maintained in a manner adequate to meet its TMOs. Maintenance shall be recorded as less than standard when some needed maintenance activities are not performed, resulting in a trail that does not meet its TMOs. Refer to the Design Parameters (chapter 2) to determine whether maintenance is preserving the trail to a standard adequate to meet its TMOs.

4.23--Maintenance Activity Groups

Five activity groups are described below, along with a list of each maintenance activity. These maintenance activities (or others as desired by local units) should be used to maintain trails based on applicable Design Parameters. These maintenance activities are only a suggested list; different lists may be developed at the regional, forest, or district level.

4.25--Condition Assessment and Prescription Surveys

1. The condition assessment and prescription survey is the backbone of maintenance management. Those who perform condition surveys must be knowledgeable of the entire maintenance management process. The data gathered and the decisions made during the condition survey provide the information needed for subsequent trail management decisions. The condition survey also may be used for scheduling and reporting work accomplishments.

2. Review the TMOs for each trail prior to performing condition surveys. TMOs are used in development of the

[[Page 38052]]

annual maintenance plan and generally include the following considerations:

a. Requirements to protect adjacent resources or improvements, such as streams, lakes, meadows, vegetation, scenic strips, viewing areas, experimental forests, and facilities.

b. The planned use of the trail. The Trail Type, Trail Class, Managed Use, Designed Use, Design Parameters, season of use, volume of use, and trail restrictions and regulations are examples of the type of

information that must be known before conducting a condition survey. Use this information to determine whether a trail is adequately providing for planned use.

3. Condition surveys are conducted to provide current information about the condition of all physical features that are of concern to the trail manager. Prior surveys provide information to forecast work requirements that are used in formulating the annual maintenance plan. Examples of possible deficiencies that should be noted in condition surveys include:

- a. Inlets and outlets of culverts that are plugged.
- b. Location of hazard trees.
- c. Brushing growth within cleared limits.
- d. Sluffing backslope.
- e. Missing or damaged signs.
- f. Subgrade failures.
- g. Stone retaining wall failures.
- h. Slide encroachment along the trail.
- i. Trail tread erosion.

These surveys also provide data for reporting deficiencies and corrective measures that can be used in planning reconstruction projects.

4. The prescription survey identifies actions needed to correct the deficiencies noted on the ground. A qualified person can prescribe the action needed to correct the deficiency at the same time the condition survey is made. Specific maintenance activities or tasks should be noted in the survey.

5. A detailed condition survey may not be needed when trails are opened in the spring. (opening trails in the spring normally only involves removing logs and drainage maintenance). However, trail inspectors need to identify and verify the type and extent of work needed before dispatching crews or awarding contracts for trail maintenance.

[FR Doc. 06-5967 Filed 6-29-06; 10:39 am]
BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

[EPA Home](#) | [Privacy and Security Notice](#) | [Contact Us](#)

This page was generated on Thursday, August 17, 2006

View the graphical version of this page at: <http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/EPA-IMPACT/2006/July/Day-03/i5967.htm>